



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/035821

10/19/01

Kipp

EXAMINER

Simon Oh

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

10

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Simon Oh (3) Joseph Fuchs
(2) Gollamudi Kishore (4) Tin-Chuen Young

Date of Interview 7-2-03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: Stainmesse et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Instant claimed method of producing particles and that disclosed by Stainmesse (5,133,902) were discussed. Since basic organic chemistry teaches that a compound would either precipitate or form crystals upon dissolution in one solvent (for example alcohol) or addition of second solvent, suggestions were made to recite specific critical steps in the method claimed and since the nature of the particle formed depends upon the nature of the organic compound suggestion was made to recite specific compounds. T.Ds appear to be essential between the pending application and the allowed application.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.